

2. Amendments to the Drawings.

A corrected set of drawings is enclosed herewith consisting of three (3) sheets with Figures 1, 2 and 3 each respectively on one of the sheets.

The sheet containing Figure 2 is marked "REPLACEMENT SHEET" in the upper margin. The correction shows a lead line for reference numeral 7 to a tee-joint. No changes were made to either Figure 1 or 2. No new matter has been added.

5. Remarks.

FIGURE 2 has been amended, a substitute specification in clean form without markings as to amended material pursuant to 37 *CFR* § 1.125(c) is submitted herewith, a marked-up copy of the substitute specification showing the matter being added to and the matter being deleted from the specification of record pursuant to 37 *CFR* § 1.125(b)(2) is submitted herewith, and claims 1-4 have been amended.

The substitute specification pursuant to 37 *CFR* § 1.125(c) includes no new matter pursuant to 37 *CFR* § 1.125(b)(1).

Applicant respectfully requests further examination and reconsideration of claims 1-4, still pending in the application.

If the specification and/or claims should require any further amendment, the kind assistance of the Examiner in entering an Examiner's Amendment would be gratefully appreciated.

The last Office action mailed from the Patent Office on September 9, 2005 has been carefully considered and indicates that:

- the drawings are objected to;
- the specification is objected to under 35 *U.S.C.* § 112, *first paragraph*;
- the disclosure is objected to; and
- claims 1-4 are objected to.

A. The Examiner's Objection to the Drawings.

In response to the Examiner's objection to the drawings, Lu submits herewith a corrected **FIGURE 2** correctly drawing the lead line for reference numeral 7 to the tee-joint.

In view of the submission herewith of a corrected **FIGURE 2** correctly drawing the lead line for reference numeral 7 to the tee-joint, Lu respectfully submits that the

Examiner's grounds for the objection to the drawings are no longer applicable and Lu therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this objection.

B. The Examiner's Objection to the Specification Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph, and, the Examiner's Objection to the Disclosure.

In response to the Examiner's objection to the specification under 35 U.S.C. § 112, *first paragraph*, and, the Examiner's objection to the disclosure, Lu:

- respectfully submits herewith a substitute specification in clean form without markings as to amended material pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.125(c) and complying with 35 U.S.C. § 112, *first paragraph*, and using consistent terminology as required by the Examiner;
- respectfully submits herewith a marked-up copy of the substitute specification showing the matter being added to and the matter being deleted from the specification of record pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.125(b)(2); and
- respectfully submits that the substitute specification pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.125(c) includes no new matter pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.125(b)(1).


In view of the submission herewith of a substitute specification in clean form without markings as to amended material pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.125(c) and complying with 35 U.S.C. § 112, *first paragraph*, and using consistent terminology, the submission herewith of a marked-up copy of the substitute specification showing the matter being added to and the matter being deleted from the specification of record pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.125(b)(2), and the submission that the substitute specification pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.125(c) includes no new matter pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.125(b)(1), Lu respectfully submits that the Examiner's grounds for the objection to the specification under 35 U.S.C. § 112, *first paragraph*, and, the Examiner's grounds for the objection to the disclosure are no longer applicable and Lu therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw these objections.

C. The Examiner's objection to claims 1-4.

In response to the Examiner's objection to claims 1-4, Lu has amended claim 1-4 to eliminate the indefinite and functional or operational language as required by the Examiner.

In view of the amendments made to claims 1-4 to eliminate the indefinite and functional or operational language, Lu respectfully submits that the Examiner's grounds for the objection to claims 1-4 are no longer applicable and Lu therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw this objection.

Respectfully,

By: 
CHARLES E. BAXLEY
Attorney of Record
USPTO Reg. 20,149

90 John Street - Suite 309
New York, New York 10038
Tel: (212) 791-7200
Fax: (212) 791-7276
e-mail: ceb@hartbaxley.com

Dated: New York, NY
December 28, 2005